

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/605,764	10/24/2003	Yoshifumi Kachi	39.023-AG	2763
29453 7:	590 12/04/2006		EXAMINER	
JUDGE & MURAKAMI IP ASSOCIATES			SPEER, TIMOTHY M	
	OJIMIA BUILDING, 7TH FLOOR 8 NISHITEMMA 2-CHOME, KITA-KU		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OSAKA-SHI,	530-0047	•	1775	
JAPAN			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,764	KACHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Timothy M. Speer	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this constant the mailing date of the part of the par	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	September 2006.	``3	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application) .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·.	•	•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examin	er.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	·	•	· ·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		· ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	n received in this National	Stage
application from the International Burea	•	t received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/06, 12/03</u> .		Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. On further consideration, the election of species mailed 08/23/06 has been withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 1-16 will be treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. These claims contain improper Markush language, rendering them indefinite. In each claim, the phrase "selected from" should be changed to --selected from the group consisting of--. From the present language, it is not clear whether or not the group is closed. See MPEP 2173.05(h).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (JP 2002-134484, machine translation enclosed).

Art Unit: 1775

- 7. Sato teaches a semiconductor substrate holding device (susceptor). The susceptor comprises a ceramic substrate having a wafer retaining face, a resistive heating element provided interiorly in the substrate and a recess formed in the wafer retaining face (see figures 3-5 and accompanying text). Sato fails to teach that the recess is contoured either so that the perimetric wall meets the bottom surface to form and angle of over 90° and 170° or less or so that the perimetric wall and bottom face join in a bottom-portion circumferential verge having a curvature of 0.1 mm or more.
- 8. Sato teaches, generally, that the device is configured such that the perimetric wall and the bottom surface form and angle and that the bottom portion may have a curvature (see figures 3-5). Moreover, Sato teaches that the curvature may be varied depending on the diameter of the substrate being processed; showing that the curvature is a result effective variable (page 6, paragraph [0024] last sentence). Similarly, the angle is result effective and may be determined based on the substrate being processes.
- 9. It has been held that "where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See MPEP 2144.05 citing *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Thus, in the present case, since Sato teaches that the angle or curvature at which the perimetric wall and bottom surface meet is result effective, it is not considered inventive to discover optimum or workable ranges with respect to this parameter.
- 10. Regarding claims 2 and 10, Sato teaches that the substrate may be formed of aluminum nitride (see page 5, paragraph [0018]). Therefore, to form the substrate of such a material would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, since Sato suggests such constructions.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,764

Art Unit: 1775

Control Number: 10/003,70

11. With respect to claims 5, 6, 13, and 14, Sato teaches that a plasma discharge electrode may be formed superficially to the susceptor (page 5, paragraph [0021]). Therefore, to form such a construction would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, since Sato suggests such constructions.

Page 4

- 12. In light of the above, instant claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, and 14 are considered to be prima facie obvious in view of Sato.
- 13. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Soma (USPN 5,231,690).
- 14. Sato was discussed above and fails to teach that the resistive heating element is formed of the materials set forth in instant claims 3, 4, 11 and 12. Soma teaches that metals such as molybdenum, tungsten, platinum and the like are conventionally employed as resistive heating elements in ceramic susceptors (col. 5, lines 58-61, for instance). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ one of these metals in the resistive heating element of Sato, since Soma teaches that these metals are conventionally used for such resistive heating elements.
- Regarding claims 7, 8, 15, and 16, as noted above, Sato teaches that a plasma discharge electrode may be formed superficially to the susceptor (page 5, paragraph [0021]). Therefore, to form such a construction would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, since Sato suggests such constructions.
- 16. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, and 16 are prima facie obvious in view of Sato in view of Soma.

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Speer whose telephone number is 571-272-8385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Timothy M. Speer

JOHN J. ZIMMERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER